

Appendix G. Data for DAFT Experiments

#### BAF Phase II TM Bench Scale DAFT Study

Soul	rcel	Re	ma	rl

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Calculate the A/S ratio at 50 percent of recycle ratio for DAF thickening of the mixed Biostyr and Biofor C backwash water

#### **Formula**

$$\frac{A}{S} = \frac{1.3s_a(fP-1)R}{S_aQ}$$

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition, page 249

A/S = air to solids ratio, mL/mg sa = air solubility, mL/L f = fraction of air dissolved at pressure P P= pressure , atm Sa = wastewater TSS, mg/L R/Q = recycle ratio

#### **Assumptions**

Temperature = 20  $^{\circ}$ C sa = 18.7 mL/L at 20  $^{\circ}$ C Air density = 1.204 mg/ml at 20  $^{\circ}$ C f = 0.65

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition, page 249

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition

#### **Data Obtained through DAFT Experiments**

Sa = 640 mg/L R/Q = 0.5 P = 4.4 atm

#### **Calculations**

A/S = 1<u>.3 (18.7mL/L ) ((0.65\*4.4atm)-1) \* (0.5)</u> 640 mg/L

A/S = 0.0395 mL/mg

A/S= (0.0395 mL/mg) (1.204 mg/mL)

A/S = 0.042

END OF CALCULATION

#### BAF Phase II TM Bench Scale DAFT Study

Source/Remark

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Calculate the A/S ratio at 150 percent of recycle ratio for co-thickening of the primary sludge and BAF backwash water

#### **Formula**

$$\frac{A}{S} = \frac{1.3s_a(fP-1)R}{S_aQ}$$

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition, page 249

A/S = air to solids ratio, mL/mg  $s_a$  = air solubility, mL/L f = fraction of air dissolved at pressure P P= pressure , atm  $S_a$  = wastewater TSS, mg/L R/Q = recycle ratio

#### **Assumptions**

Temperature = 20  $^{\circ}$ C s<sub>a</sub> = 18.7 mL/L at 20  $^{\circ}$ C Air density = 1.204 mg/ml at 20  $^{\circ}$ C f = 0.65

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition, page 249

Metcalf & Eddy, Third edition

Assumed

#### **Data Obtained through DAFT Experiments**

 $S_a = 1970 \text{ mg/L}$ R/Q = 1.5 P = 4.4 atm

#### **Calculations**

A/S = 1<u>.3 (18.7mL/L) ((0.65\*4.4atm)-1) \* (1.5)</u> 1970 mg/L

A/S = 0.0344 mL/mg

A/S= (0.0344 mL/mg) (1.204 mg/mL)

A/S = 0.041

END OF CALCULATION

#### BAF Phase II TM Bench Scale DAFT Study

Soul	rcel	Re	ma	rl

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Calculate the solids content of the mixture of primary sludge with one percent solids content and BAF backwash water

#### Data available

Primary sludge = 479,000 ld/day

Primary sludge peaking factor = 1.27

BAF sludge = 172,000 lb/day

BAF sludge peaking factor = 1.36

Table 2.2 inTechnical Memorandum, June 2003

#### **Assumptions**

Primary sludge solid content = 1% (10,000 mg/L) BAF backwash water TSS = 760 mg/L

mixed Biostyr and Biofor C backwash water average TSS concentration in Phase I

#### Calculations

#### At peak loading conditions:

Primary sludge ( $M_{PS}$ ) = (479,000) lb/day \* 1.27

608,330 lb/day

Volume of primary sludge  $(V_{PS}) = (608,330 \text{ lb/day})^*(1/10g/L)^*(454.54 \text{ g/lb})$ 

27,651,031 L/day

BAF sludge  $(M_{BAF}) = (172,000 \text{ lb/day}) * 1.36$ 

233,920 lb/day

Volume of BAF sludge  $(V_{BAF})$ =  $(233,920 \text{ lb/day})^*(1/0.76g/L)^*(454.54 \text{ g/lb})$ 

139,904,306 L/day

#### Mass Balance:

 $M_{PS}$  + $M_{BAF}$  = ( $V_{PS}$  +  $V_{BAF}$ )\* TSS of the mixture 842,250 lb/day = (167,555,337 L) \* TSS of the mixture TSS of the mixture = 2285 mg/L

END OF CALCULATION

<u>Test</u>: DAFT → CO-THICKENING

Date: 12/9/04 Time: 17:00 Batch #: 1 Analyst: SS

INSTRUMENT READINGS – DAFT Unit		PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Inf	
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Volume of CEPT sludge used, L	430 mL	рН	7.06
Solid content of CEPT sludge, %	41-	Temperature, °C	19.6
Volume of BAF sludge used, L	101	Turbidity, NTU	Too high
Solid content of BAF sludge, %	640 mgil		
Polymer usage, mg/L	3		
Pressure applied, psi	50		
Duration of pressure application, min	26	PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff	
Sludge blanket level, in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	рН	7.21
Recycle ration used, %	1.5	Temperature, °C	20.7
How fast is floatability of the solids?	25 cm/	Turbidity, NTU	99.1
Other observations: Settling	70 time = 18	min.	

big flocs

Ralco Optime polyme	NTU
1 2	162 130
3.5	123
13	*

<u>Test</u>: DAFT → CO-THICKENING

Time: 18:00 Batch #: 2 Date: 12/9/04 Analyst: SS

reter Value 7.06 erature, °C 19.6 lity, NTU Too high
erature, °C 19.6
lity, NTU Too high
ABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff
7.19
erature, °C 20.6
lity, NTU

Other observations:

Some particles contibuted to the subnatort!

Test: DAFT → CO-THICKENING

Date: 2/9/04 Time: 6:35pm Batch #: 3 Analyst: SS

INSTRUMENT READINGS – DAFT Unit		PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Inf	
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Volume of CEPT sludge used, L	430 ml	рН	7-06
Solid content of CEPT sludge, %	47.	Temperature, °C	19.6
Volume of BAF sludge used, L	10	Turbidity, NTU	Too high
Solid content of BAF sludge, %	640 MIL		
Polymer usage, mg/L	3		
Pressure applied, psi	50		
Duration of pressure application, min	2.0	PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff	
Sludge blanket level, in	lcm	рН	7.11
Recycle ration used, %	150	Temperature, °C	20.7
How fast is floatability of the solids?	24.5 cm	Turbidity, NTU	95.
Other observations:			

15L 10 cm 15L 13.3 cm 2.5 16.8 cm 3.5 20.3 cm 3.5 24 cm 3.7 25.5 cm

Test: DAFT → Mixed BIOSTYR and BIOFOR C BW

Date: 12/9/04 Time: 12:00 Batch #: 1 Analyst: 55

INSTRUMENT READINGS – DAFT Unit		PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Inf	
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Volume of BW used, L	2	рН	7.16
Polymer usage, mg/L	1.5	Temperature, °C	19.6
Pressure applied, psi	50	Turbidity, NTU	400
Duration of pressure application, min	18	PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff	
Sludge blanket level, in	0.5 cm	рН	7.15
Recycle ration used, %	1L = 50%.	Temperature, °C	20.1
How fast is floatability of the solids?	in 20 sec	Turbidity, NTU	43.5
Other observations: Studge rise was very fast. 20.3cm _ tem/sec			
Fire Air bubbles observed in subnatant after the side			

settling time.

Settling time = 20 min

Could not take shudge samples.

Nalco Optimer poli		lity (NTU)
0.5	23	pin flocs
1	20	
[1.5	18	good flocs
2_	17	the state of the s
3	14.7	large flocs

Test: DAFT → Mixed BIOSTYR and BIOFOR C BW

Date: 12/9/04 Time: 13:00 Batch #: 2 Analyst: SS

INSTRUMENT READINGS – DAFT Unit		PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Inf	
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Volume of BW used, L	2	рН	7.16
Polymer usage, mg/L	1.5	Temperature, °C	19.6
Pressure applied, psi	50	Turbidity, NTU	400
Duration of pressure application, min	16	PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff	
Sludge blanket level, in	0.5 cm	рН	7.28
Recycle ration used, %	50 %	Temperature, °C	20.4
How fast is floatability of the solids?	20.3cm 21 sec	Turbidity, NTU	34.5 / 36.1
Other observations: Settling	time = 22	min	ave= 35.3
In 3 min	all the	sludge rised.	

NS = 0.04

<u>Test</u>: DAFT → Mixed BIOSTYR and BIOFOR C BW

Date: 12/9/04 Time: 14:00 Batch #: 3 Analyst: 55

INSTRUMENT READINGS - DAFT Unit		PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Inf	
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Volume of BW used, L	2	рН	7.16
Polymer usage, mg/L	1,5	Temperature, °C	19.6
Pressure applied, psi	50	Turbidity, NTU	400
Duration of pressure application, min	20	PORTABLE METER READINGS –DAFT-Eff	
Sludge blanket level, in	0,5 cm	рН	7.26
Recycle ration used, %	50%	Temperature, °C	20,6
How fast is floatability of the solids?	20 CM 20 SEC	Turbidity, NTU	39./
Other observations: Sludge	riseis vey	fast.	

A/S = 0.04



# Appendix H. Estimate of Aeration Requirement

#### Problem Statement:

This worksheet calculates annual electrical power cost for full-scale Kurger Biostyr BAF based on full-scale design conditions and oxygen transfer efficiency measurements by Dr. Michael Stenstrom on December 13-14, 2004. User-entered information is highlighted yellow.

#### Calculate Full-Scale Oxygen Transfer Rate (OTR):

Q := 264 · mgd

$$BOD := 116 \cdot \frac{mg}{I}$$

Maximum month design conditions from Kruger proposal (11/21/03)

T:= 22·degreeC

$$BOD_{load} := Q \cdot BOD$$

$$BOD_{load} = 255570 \frac{lb}{day}$$

$$OTR := BOD_{load} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{lb}{lb}$$

Assume 1 lb oxygen/lb BOD

$$OTR = 10649 \frac{lb}{hr}$$

#### Calculate Specific Airflow Rate:

$$Q_{air} := 88000 \cdot \frac{ft^3}{min}$$

Total airflow from Kruger proposal

$$A := 2582 \cdot \text{ft}^2$$

Cell area from Kruger proposal

40 cells from Kruger proposal

$$Q_{air\_specific} := \frac{Q_{air}}{A \cdot NoCells}$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX

C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 1 of 5

$$Q_{air\_specific} = 0.85 \frac{ft}{min}$$

#### Define Other Parameters:

D:= 20·ft

Kruger proposal states only media depth (11.48 ft),
not diffuser submergence or SWD. Assume 20 ft diffuser submergence.

PB := 14.7 psia Ambient atmospheric pressure

 $P_B=101.353\,kPa$ 

 $C^*_{S20} := 9.07 \cdot \frac{mg}{liter}$  DO saturation concentration at 20 degrees C, standard atmospheric pressure (P(S)), and 100 percent relative humidity

 $\beta := 0.95$ 

SAF effluent DO concentration assuming average concentration measured during off-gas testing

 $\theta := 1.024$ 

 $\rho_W := 998.3 \cdot \frac{kg}{m^3}$  Density of water at 20 degrees C (from Vennard and Street, 5th ed)

#### Calculate Temperature Correction Factor:

TempCorrFactor = 1.049

#### Calculate DO Gradient Correction Factor:

$$C^* \coloneqq C^* s_{20} \cdot \frac{51.6 \cdot \text{degreeC}}{31.6 \cdot \text{degreeC} + T}$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

$$C^{\star}=8.73\,\frac{mg}{L}$$

DO saturation concentration at temperature T using correlation from BioWin 2.1 User Manual (EnviroSim Associates)

$$\mbox{DOGradientCorrFactor} := \frac{\beta \cdot \mbox{C}^{*} - \mbox{C}}{\mbox{C}^{*}}$$

DOGradientCorrFactor = 0.412

#### Calculate Normalized OTE:

$$OTE_{norm} := 28.72 \cdot \left( \frac{Q_{air\_specific}}{\frac{ft}{min}} \right)^{-0.71}$$

 $\text{OTE}_{\text{norm}} \coloneqq 28.72 \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{Q_{\text{air\_specific}}}{\frac{\text{ft}}{\text{min}}} \end{array} \right)^{-0.71} \\ & \text{Correlation from analysis of off-gas test data} \\ & \text{collected over a specific airflow range between} \\ & 0.39 \text{ and } 1.08 \text{ ft/min} \\ \end{array}$ 

#### Calculate OTE:

 $OTE := OTE_{norm} \cdot TempCorrFactor \cdot DOGradientCorrFactor$ 

OTE = 13.89

Full-scale OTE at maximum month field conditions

#### Calculate Aeration Air Requirement:

$$Q_{aix} := 100 \cdot \frac{\text{ft}^3}{\text{min}}$$

Given

OTR = 
$$Q_{air} \cdot 0.0745 \cdot \frac{lb}{ft^3} \cdot 0.23 \cdot \frac{OTE}{100}$$
 Assumed value for solve block

Foo :=  $Find(Q_{air})$ 

Qair := Foo

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863

**Date Started**: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton

Checked: XX

C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 3 of 5

$$Q_{air} = 74560 \frac{ft^3}{min}$$

#### Calculate Blower Adiabatic Power:

$$p_1 := P_B - 0.3 \cdot psia$$
 Assume 0.3 psi inlet filter pressure loss

$$p_1 = 14.4 \, psia$$

$$p_2 := P_B + \left[ (D + 6 \cdot in) \cdot \rho_W \cdot g \right] + 2.0 \cdot psia$$
 Assume 6 in diffuser dynamic wet pressure (DWP) plus 2.0 psi aeration air distribution piping pressure loss

Corresponds to gage discharge pressure of 10.9 psig (24.6 psia - 14.7 psia).

$$p_2 = 25.6 \, psia$$
 Kruger proposal states that discharge pressures typically range from 11.0 to 13.0 psig.

$$\frac{p_2}{p_1} = 1.78$$

$$k \coloneqq 1.395$$
 Cp/Cv (ratio of specific heats) for air

$$HP_{ad} := \frac{k}{k-1} \cdot Q_{air} \cdot p_1 \cdot \left[ \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right]^{k-1} - 1$$
 Eq 6-23b from Chemical Engineers' Handbook, 6th ed.

$$HP_{ad}=2921.63\,hp$$

#### Calculate Peak Month Electrical Power Cost:

BHP := 
$$\frac{\text{HP}_{ad}}{0.80}$$
 Assume 80% blower efficiency (e.g., centrifugal blower)

$$BHP=3652.04\,hp$$

$$HP_{elect} := \frac{BHP}{0.95}$$
 Assume 95% motor efficiency

$$HP_{elect} = 3844.26 hp$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

$$PeakMonthPower := HP_{elect} \cdot 30 \cdot day \cdot 24 \cdot \frac{hr}{day}$$

 $PeakMonthPower = 2 \times 10^6 \, kW \cdot hr$ 

ElectPowerCost := 
$$0.10 \cdot \frac{1}{\text{kW} \cdot \text{hr}}$$

Assume \$0.10/kWh

PeakMonthPowerCost := PeakMonthPower · ElectPowerCost

PeakMonthPowerCost = 206400 Expressed as \$/month

#### Calculate Annual Average Power Cost:

PeakFactorPeakMonth := 1.3

Ratio of peak month BOD loading (oxygen demand) to annual average BOD loading

 $Annual Power Cost := \frac{Peak Month Power Cost \cdot 12}{Peak Factor_{Peak Month}}$ 

AnnualPowerCost = 1.91 × 10<sup>6</sup> Expressed as \$/year

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 5 of 5

#### Problem Statement:

This worksheet calculates annual electrical power cost for full-scale Infilco Degremont (IDI) Biofor C BAF based on full-scale design conditions and oxygen transfer efficiency measurements by Dr. Michael Stenstrom on December 13-14, 2004. User-entered information is highlighted yellow.

#### Calculate Full-Scale Oxygen Transfer Rate (OTR):

Q := 264 · mgd

 $BOD := 116 \cdot \frac{mg}{I}$ 

Maximum month design conditions from IDI proposal (12/12/03)

T.:= 21.8·degreeC

 $BOD_{load} := Q \cdot BOD$ 

 $BOD_{load} = 255570 \frac{lb}{day}$ 

 $OTR := BOD_{load} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{lb}{lb}$ 

Assume 1 lb oxygen/lb BOD

$$OTR = 10649 \frac{lb}{hr}$$

#### Calculate Specific Airflow Rate (based on manufacturer proposal):

$$Q_{air} := 53600 \cdot \frac{ft^3}{min}$$

Total airflow from IDI proposal

$$A := 1612.54 \cdot \text{ft}^2$$

Cell area from IDI proposal

64 cells from IDI proposal

$$Q_{air\_specific} := \frac{Q_{air}}{A \cdot NoCells}$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX

C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

$$Q_{air\_specific} = 0.52 \frac{ft}{min}$$

#### Define Other Parameters:

D := 20 ·ft

IDI proposal states only media depth (12.1 ft) and gravel depth (1.67 ft), not diffuser submergence or SWD. Assume 20 ft diffuser submergence.

P<sub>B</sub> := 14.7⋅psia

Ambient atmospheric pressure

 $P_B = 101.353 kPa$ 

 $C^*_{S20} := 9.07 \cdot \frac{mg}{liter}$ 

 ${\sf DO}$  saturation concentration at 20 degrees C, standard atmospheric

pressure (P(S)), and 100 percent relative humidity

 $\beta := 0.95$ 

 $C := 4.7 \cdot \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{liter}}$ 

BAF effluent DO concentration assuming average concentration measured

during off-gas testing

 $\theta := 1.024$ 

 $o_{W} := 998.3 \cdot \frac{kg}{m^3}$ 

Density of water at 20 degrees C (from Vennard and Street, 5th ed)

#### Calculate Temperature Correction Factor:

 $\mathsf{TempCorrFactor} \coloneqq \theta$ 

TempCorrFactor = 1.044

Calculate DO Gradient Correction Factor:

$$C^* := C^*_{S20} \cdot \frac{51.6 \cdot \text{degreeC}}{31.6 \cdot \text{degreeC} + T}$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 2 of 5

$$C^* = 8.76 \frac{mg}{L}$$

DO saturation concentration at temperature T using correlation from BioWin 2.1 User Manual (EnviroSim Associates)

$$\mbox{DOGradientCorrFactor} := \frac{\beta \cdot C^* - C}{C^*}$$

DOGradientCorrFactor = 0.414

#### Calculate Normalized OTE:

$$OTE_{norm} := 28.72 \cdot \left( \frac{Q_{air\_specific}}{\frac{ft}{min}} \right)^{-0.71}$$

 $\text{OTE}_{\text{norm}} \coloneqq 28.72 \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{Q_{\text{air\_specific}}}{\frac{\text{ft}}{\text{min}}} \right)^{-0.71} & \text{Correlation from analysis of off-gas test data} \\ & \text{collected over a specific airflow range between} \\ & 0.39 \text{ and } 1.08 \text{ ft/min} \\ \end{array} \right)$ 

#### Calculate OTE:

 $OTE := OTE_{norm} \cdot TempCorrFactor \cdot DOGradientCorrFactor$ 

OTE = 19.74

Full-scale OTE at maximum month field conditions

#### Calculate Aeration Air Requirement:

$$Q_{air} := 100 \cdot \frac{ft^3}{min}$$

 $Q_{air} := 100 \cdot \frac{ft^3}{min}$  Assumed value for solve block

Given

$$OTR = Q_{air} \cdot 0.0745 \cdot \frac{lb}{ft^3} \cdot 0.23 \cdot \frac{OTE}{100}$$

$$Foo := Find \big(Q_{air}\big)$$

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863

**Date Started**: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX

C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 3 of 5

$$Q_{air} = 52457 \frac{ft^3}{min}$$

#### Calculate Blower Adiabatic Power:

 $p_1 := P_B - 0.3 \cdot psia$  Assume 0.3 psi inlet filter pressure loss

 $p_1 = 14.4 \, psia$ 

 $p_2 := P_B + \left[ (D + 6 \cdot in) \cdot \rho_W \cdot g \right] + 2.0 \cdot psia$  Assume 6 in diffuser dynamic wet pressure (DWP) plus 2.0 psi aeration air distribution piping pressure loss

 $\frac{p_2}{p_1} = 1.78$ 

k := 1.395 Cp/Cv (ratio of specific heats) for air

 $HP_{ad} := \frac{k}{k-1} \cdot Q_{air} \cdot p_1 \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} - 1 \right] \\ \qquad \qquad Eq \ 6\text{-}23b \ from Chemical Engineers' Handbook, 6th ed.}$ 

 $HP_{ad}=2055.55\,hp$ 

#### Calculate Peak Month Electrical Power Cost:

BHP :=  $\frac{HP_{ad}}{0.80}$  Assume 80% blower efficiency (e.g., centrifugal blower)

 $BHP=2569.44\,hp$ 

 $HP_{elect} := \frac{BHP}{0.95}$  Assume 95% motor efficiency

 $HP_{elect}=2704.67\,hp$ 

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

 $PeakMonthPower := HP_{elect} \cdot 30 \cdot day \cdot 24 \cdot \frac{hr}{day}$ 

 $PeakMonthPower = 1 \times 10^6 \, kW \cdot hr$ 

ElectPowerCost :=  $0.10 \cdot \frac{1}{\text{kW} \cdot \text{hr}}$ 

Assume \$0.10/kWh

PeakMonthPowerCost := PeakMonthPower · ElectPowerCost

PeakMonthPowerCost = 145215 Expressed as \$/month

Calculate Annual Average Power Cost:

PeakFactorPeakMonth := 1.3

Ratio of peak month BOD loading (oxygen demand) to

annual average BOD loading

 $Annual Power Cost := \frac{Peak Month Power Cost \cdot 12}{Peak Factor_{Peak Month}}$ 

AnnualPowerCost =  $1.34 \times 10^6$  Expressed as \$/year

Client: City of San Diego Project: Pt. Loma WWTP BAF Pilot Study Client Number: 24901 Task Number: 863 Date Started: 10/25/04 Last Modified: 2/24/05 Calc. By: R. Appleton Checked: XX C:\Projects\24901 - Pt Loma BAF Pilot Study\Round2

Page: 5 of 5



Appendix I. BAF Stress Testing Protocol

#### **BAF Stress Test Protocol**

The BAF stress testing protocol will cover the following 9 areas:

- 1. Goal
- 2. Startup
- 3. Establishment of operational set points
- 4. The stress test
- 5. Backwash cycle
- 6. Sampling and Analysis
- 7. Process Monitoring
- 8. Roles and Responsibilities
- 9. Schedule

#### 1. Goal

The goal of this experiment is to determine the minimum number of units in service required to treat wastewater per 7 day organic and solids discharge limits under peak hydraulic condition. Peak hydraulic condition corresponds to the full-scale PLWTP design flow of 432 MGD.

#### 2. Startup

The BAF units will be started from an operation condition at 2 gpm/sf. Stress testing will begin right after the backwash, i.e. with a clean column. In order to start stress testing for both BAF units at the same time, it is required to perform both backwashes at the same time.

#### 3. Establishment of Operational Set Points

Operational set points (OSP) the BAF units were determined based on the following worst case scenarios:

- OSP1 10 percent of the cells are assumed to be out of service (proposed by the BAF vendors)
- o OSP2 22 percent of the cells are assumed to be out of service (OOS)
- o OSP3 33 percent of the cells are assumed to be OOS
- o OSP4 42 percent of the cells are assumed to be OOS

The four operational set points are summarized below.

Item	Biostyr	Biofor C
Total number of cells	40	64
proposed		
Peak hourly flow, mgd	432	432
Size of a cell, sf	2582	1612.5
Operating Set Point	Hydraulic Loading l	Rate (HLR), gpm/sf
OSP1	3.2 (4 cells OOS)	3.2 (6 cells OOS)
OSP2	3.8 (9 cells OOS)	3.7 (14 cells OOS)
OSP3	4.3 (12 cells OOS)	4.3 (21 cells OOS)

Item	Biostyr	Biofor C	
OSP4	5.1 (17cells OOS)	5.0 (27cells OOS)	

The idea behind the stress test is to increase the hydraulic loading rate (HLR) until the effluent TSS exceeds 45 mg/L for two consecutive bi-hourly composite samples (see section 6). When this occurs the stress test for that unit is complete and testing will be terminated for that unit.

#### 4. Stress Testing

Each OPS will be conducted within a 24 hour period and testing will proceed as follows:

Hour 1 - Aggressively backwash the column
 Hour 2 to 3 - Slowly ramp up HLR from 2.0 gpm/sf to target HLR noted above
 Hour 4 to 24 - Operate at target HLR while taking 2-hour composite samples (see Item 6 for details)

This process is repeated for each OPS. If at any time the effluent TSS value exceeds the target concentration noted in Item 3 for two consecutive bi-hourly composite samples, the testing for that particular cell will cease and the HLR at breakthrough will recorded as the maximum for that cell.

#### 5. Backwash cycle

As the loading to the BAF columns increases, the backwash frequency is expected to increase. The Biostyr has an automated backwashing system that is activated when the pressure at the bottom of the column exceeds a certain set point. On the other hand, the Biofor-C has to be manually backwashed when the pressure below the plenum reaches a certain value. Therefore, backwashing must be handled differently for each.

*Biostyr:* Kruger will be asked to program the backwash cycle such that no mini backwash will be performed. Whenever the set maximum column pressure is reached, a normal backwash will be initiated automatically. The timed backwash will be disabled so that headloss is the only controlling parameter.

Biofor C: Based on the previous pressure data, a reasonable maximum column pressure of 10 psi was selected as the headloss trigger for initiating a backwash. Column pressure will be monitored every five hours, commencing at the starting of the stress test. The column pressure versus time relationship (typically linear) will be developed from the five hour reading(s). Field staff will estimate the amount of minutes required to reach 10 psi. This value will be entered into the PLC at the "Miscellaneous Timers" control screen.

#### 6. Sampling and Analysis

The 13-Inf, 13-BSEff and 13-BFCEff samplers will be outfitted with 24-bottle carousels. For each completed carousel, the lab shall:

- a. Combine each pair of consecutive 1-hour bottles to form 12, 2-hour composite samples
- b. Analyze the 12 samples from (a) for TSS, CBOD, and TBOD

It is important that the lab perform the TSS analysis as soon as possible since the previous day's TSS value is needed for the stress test progression.

#### 7. Monitoring

Hourly monitoring of the BAF units during the stress-test will consist of observing the following:

Influent turbidity
Effluent turbidity
Influent flow rate
Process air flow rate
Biofor C column pressure
Time between backwashes

The observations shall be logged on the attached form.

#### 8. Roles and Responsibilities

Startup – IDI and Kruger
Establishing operational set-points – IDI and Kruger
Operating samplers and collecting samples – Point Loma Process Control
Preparing, managing and analyzing samples – Point Loma Laboratory
Monitoring – EPMD, BC

#### 8. Schedule

The schedule for the stress testing is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. BAF Stress Test Schedule

		Start	End
Date	Activity	time	Time
	Begin stress testing day 1 with backwashing the BAF columns	7:00	8:00
	Start samplers for day1	8:00	NA
14-Dec	Ramp hydraulic loading from 2.0 to 3.2 gpm/sf	8:00	10:00
	Run the BAF columns at 3.2 gpm/sf	10:00	23:59
	Estimate Biofor-C backwash timer setpoint and input value	15:00	16:00
	Run the BAF columns at 3.2 gpm/sf	0:00	7:00
	Begin stress testing day 2 with backwashing the BAF columns	7:00	8:00
15-Dec	Start samplers for day 2	8:00	NA
13-1200	Ramp hydraulic loading from 2.0 to 3.7 gpm/sf	8:00	10:00
	Run the BAF columns at 3.7 gpm/sf	10:00	23:59
	Estimate Biofor-C backwash timer setpoint and input value	15:00	16:00
	Run the BAF columns at 3.7 gpm/sf	0:00	7:00
	Begin stress testing day 3 with backwashing the BAF columns	7:00	8:00
16-Dec	Start samplers for day 3	8:00	NA
10-10-0	Ramp hydraulic loading from 2.0 to 4.3 gpm/sf	8:00	10:00
	Run the BAF columns at 4.3 gpm/sf	10:00	23:59
	Estimate Biofor-C backwash timer setpoint and input value	15:00	16:00
	Run the BAF columns at 4.3 gpm/sf	0:00	7:00
	Begin stress testing day 4 with backwashing the BAF columns	7:00	8:00
17-Dec	Start samplers for day 4	8:00	NA
17-1000	Ramp hydraulic loading from 2.0 to 5.0 gpm/sf	8:00	10:00
	Run the BAF columns at 5.0 (or 5.1) gpm/sf	10:00	23:59
	Estimate Biofor-C backwash timer setpoint and input value	15:00	16:00
18-Dec	Run the BAF columns at 5.0 (or 5.1) gpm/sf	0:00	7:00
	Stop sampling for day 4	7:00	NA



Appendix J. Bacteria and Virus Data

Run	Week	Date	Sample ID	Total Coliform CFU/100mL	Log Removal	Fecal Coliform CFU/100 mL	Log Removal	Enterococcus CFU/100mL	Log Removal	Coliphage PFU/100mL	Log Removal
			Influent Biofor C Effluent	35,000,000	0.78	2,000,000 680,000	0.47	<1,000,000 45,000	1.35	10,000 2,100	0.68
	4		Biofor N Effluent	5,800,000 NS	0.78	680,000 NS	0.47	45,000 NS	1.33	2,100 NS	0.68
			Biostyr Effluent	NS		NS		NS		NS	
			Influent	49,000,000	4.44	4,200,000	0.07	80,000	0.60	28,000	0.45
	4	18-War-04	Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	3,800,000 120,000	1.11 1.50	450,000 19,000	0.97 1.37	20,000 300	0.60 1.82	6,000 21,000	0.67 -0.54
			Biostyr Effluent	NS		NS		NS		NS	
			Influent Biofor C Effluent	68,000,000	1.04	4,000,000	0.92	55,000	0.39	38,000	0.43
2	5		Biofor N Effluent	6,200,000 55,000	1.04 2.05	480,000 14,000	1.54	23,000 400	0.38 1.76	14,000 16,000	-0.06
			Biostyr Effluent	2,000,000	1.53	180,000	1.35	4,300	1.11	35,000	0.04
			Influent Biofor C Effluent	30,000,000 4,900,000	0.79	3,100,000 370,000	0.92	68,000 24,000	0.45	7,000 5,800	0.08
	6		Biofor N Effluent	2,700,000	0.79	200,000	0.92	11000	0.45	5,000	1.06
			Biostyr Effluent	64,000	2.67	3,000	3.01	400	2.23	4,200	0.22
			Influent	38,000,000 900,000	1.63	5,400,000 70,000	1.89	26,000 10,000	0.41	90,000 33,000	0.44
	6	1-Apr-04	Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	4,900,000	-0.74	420,000	-0.78	6000	0.41 0.22	33,000	0.44
			Biostyr Effluent	76,000	2.70	12,000	2.65	400	1.81	12,000	0.88
			Influent	46,000,000	1.06	3,800,000	4.20	190,000	4.20	26,000	0.54
	7	5-Apr-04	Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	510,000 1,300,000	1.96 -0.41	160,000 330,000	1.38 -0.31	8,000 13000	1.38 -0.21	8,000 5,900	0.51 0.13
			Biostyr Effluent	64,000	2.86	10,000	2.58	800	2.38	3,100	0.92
			Influent	48,000,000	2.02	4,300,000	1.50	180,000	4.44	26,000	0.40
	7	/-/Anr-U4	Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	460,000 2,700,000	2.02 -0.77	130,000 330,000	1.52 -0.40	13,000 9000	1.14 0.16	17,000 23,000	0.18 -0.13
			Biostyr Effluent	150,000	2.51	39,000	2.04	1,300	2.14	4,300	0.78
			Influent	48,000,000		4,500,000	0.55	78,000	0.40	13,000	
	8	13-Apr-04	Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	6,000,000 4,000,000	0.90 0.18	1,200,000 560,000	0.57 0.33	62,000 23,000	0.10 0.43	8,000 7,000	0.21 0.06
			Biostyr Effluent	40,000	3.08	6,000	2.88	500	2.19	1,000	1.11
			PLWTP Influent	60,000,000		13,000,000		440,000		74,000	
	8		Influent Biofor C Effluent	39,000,000 3,000,000	0.11	5,300,000 1,900,000	0.45	130,000 4,900	1.42	18,000 14,000	0.11
			Biofor N Effluent	1,200,000	0.40	3,000,000	-0.20	5,700	-0.07	2,900	0.68
			Biostyr Effluent	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
			PLWTP Influent Influent	170,000,000 49,000,000		23,000,000 5,600,000		4,600,000 52,000		30,000 12,000	
	9		Biofor C Effluent	21,000,000	0.37	3,000,000	0.27	70,000	-0.13	9,000	0.12
			Biofor N Effluent	1,900,000	1.04	90,000	1.52	2,900	1.38	1,100	0.91
			Biostyr Effluent	290,000	2.23	44,000	2.10	300	2.24	1,600	0.88
			PLWTP Influent Influent	210,000,000 55,000,000		25,000,000 4,500,000		400,000 40,000		110,000 11,000	
	9		Biofor C Effluent	7,300,000	0.88	600,000	0.88	41,000	-0.01	3,000	0.56
			Biofor N Effluent	5,400,000	0.13	800,000	-0.12	16,000	0.41	3,600	-0.08
			Biostyr Effluent PLWTP Influent	100,000	2.74	18,000	2.40	300	2.12	3,900	0.45
			Influent	70,000,000 30,000,000		14,000,000 3,300,000		900,000 190,000		73,000 7,000	
	10	27-Apr-04	Biofor C Effluent	6,200,000	0.68	600,000	0.74	50,000	0.58	9,000	-0.11
			Biofor N Effluent Biostyr Effluent	5,500,000 40,000	0.05 2.88	590,000 12,000	0.01 2.44	46,000 300	0.04	3,400 1,900	0.42 0.57
			PLWTP Influent	100,000,000	2.00	24,000,000	2.44	1,500,000	2.80	220,000	0.37
3			Influent	40,000,000		4,400,000		170,000		8,000	
	10	29-Apr-04	Biofor C Effluent	5,100,000	0.89	770,000	0.76	80,000	0.33	11,000	-0.14
			Biofor N Effluent Biostyr Effluent	4,000,000 <10000	0.11 3.60	400,000 2,200	0.28 3.30	30,000 200	0.43 2.93	6,000 1,800	0.26 0.65
			PLWTP Influent	150,000,000	3.00	21,000,000	5.50	470,000	2.93	53,000	0.03
			Influent	25,000,000		12,000,000		180,000		3,900	
	11		Biofor C Effluent Biofor N Effluent	10,000,000	0.40 0.13	1,000,000 700,000	1.08 0.15	41,000 45,000	0.64 -0.04	4,000 3,300	-0.01 0.08
			Biostyr Effluent	7,400,000 80,000	2.49	1,800	3.82	43,000	2.48	1,200	0.08
			PLWTP Influent	140,000,000		14,000,000	_	70,000	_	32,000	
	11		Influent Biofor C Effluent	72,000,000	1.10	5,400,000	0.83	150,000	1 40	8,000 9,000	0.05
	11		Biofor N Effluent	5,500,000 6,700,000	1.12 -0.09	800,000 1,400,000	-0.24	5,000 29,000	1.48 -0.76	6,000	-0.05 0.18
			Biostyr Effluent	120,000	2.78	13,000	2.62	1,000	2.18	1,600	0.70
			PLWTP Influent	280,000,000		39,000,000		2,400,000		19,000	
	12		Influent Biofor C Effluent	39,000,000 27,000,000	0.16	4,700,000 3,800,000	0.09	57,000 100,000	-0.24	12,000 11,000	0.04
	12	11-May-04	Biofor N Effluent	>8000000	0.10	2,300,000	0.09	41,000	0.39	3,000	0.04
			Biostyr Effluent	240,000	2.21	100,000	1.67	1,100	1.71	900	
			PLWTP Influent Influent	300,000,000		46,000,000		1,100,000		27,000 16,000	
	12		Biofor C Effluent	74,000,000 7,700,000	0.98	3,900,000 800,000	0.69	150,000 120,000	0.10	16,000 3,000	0.73
			Biofor N Effluent	7,200,000	0.03	900,000	-0.05	35,000	0.54	2,600	0.06
			Biostyr Effluent	11,000	3.83	•	2.27	700	2.33		1.25
			PLWTP Influent	320,000,000		50,000,000		3,000,000		240,000	
	13		Influent Biofor C Effluent	50,000,000 8,000,000	0.80	5,300,000 560,000	0.98	40,000 29,000	0.14	58,000 13,000	0.65
			Biofor N Effluent	15,000,000	-0.27	3,800,000	-0.83	51,000	-0.25	6,000	0.34
			Biostyr Effluent	4,000,000		530,000	1.00	9,000		1,700	
			Influent Biofor C Effluent	28,000,000 5,400,000	0.71	2,500,000 590,000	0.63	62,000 58,000	0.03	14,000 3,300	0.63
	14	25-1/1017-11/4	Biofor N Effluent	9,000,000	-0.22	1,100,000	-0.27	67,000	-0.06	2,500	
	1		Biostyr Effluent	480,000	1.77	85,000	1.47	2,300			

#### PHASE II-BACTERIA AND VIRUS DATA

W/1-	D-4-	C1- ID	Total Coliform	Fecal Coliform	Enterococcus	Coliphage
Week	Date	Sample ID	CFU/100mL	CFU/100 mL	CFU/100mL	PFU/100mL
29		Raw Wastewater	130,000,000	10,000,000	440,000	300,000
	7-Sep-04	Densadeg Effluent	51,000,000	4,700,000	24,000	4,300
	7-3ep-04	Biostyr Effluent	3,400,000	240,000	5,000	4,100
		Biofor C Effluent	NS	NS	NS	NS
		Raw Wastewater	260,000,000	23,000,000	210,000	56,000
29	8-Sep-04	Densadeg Effluent	53,000,000	5,100,000	13,000	2,100
2)	0-3ср-04	Biostyr Effluent	1,600,000	350,000	8,000	2,600
		Biofor C Effluent	NS	NS	NS	NS
		Raw Wastewater	140,000,000	7,000,000	310,000	63,000
32	27-Sep-04	Densadeg Effluent	84,000,000	12,000,000	240,000	20,000
32	27 Sep 01	Biostyr Effluent	NS	NS	NS	NS
		Biofor C Effluent	LA	LA	LA	32,000
		Raw Wastewater	280,000,000	18,000,000	600,000	44,000
33	7-Oct-04	Densadeg Effluent	12,000,000	900,000	5,000	3,500
		Biostyr Effluent	2,500,000	380,000	20,000	1,700
		Biofor C Effluent	400,000	6,000	300	300
		Raw Wastewater	59,000,000	8,000,000	2,000,000	80,000
35	19-Oct-04	Densadeg Effluent	28,000,000	3,200,000	60,000	18,000
		Biostyr Effluent	18,000,000	1,300,000	33,000	37,000
		Biofor C Effluent	4,000,000	900,000	22,000	32,000
		Raw Wastewater	80,000,000	8,300,000	350,000	350,000
35	21-Oct-04	Densadeg Effluent	40,000,000	4,300,000	2,000	80,000
		Biostyr Effluent	5,200,000	1,000,000	41,000	32,000
		Biofor C Effluent	530,000	80,000	4,700	56,000
		Raw Wastewater	70,000,000	5,000,000	200,000	60,000
36	25-Oct-04	Densadeg Effluent	20,000,000	3,700,000	4,000	35,000
		Biostyr Effluent	4,100,000	410,000	18,000	25,000
		Biofor C Effluent	4,000,000	1,300,000	23,000	20,000
		Raw Wastewater	61,000,000	5,400,000	1,900,000	45,000
36	27-Oct-04	Densadeg Effluent	7,700,000	610,000	<1000	14,000
		Biostyr Effluent	3,200,000	440,000	20,000	17,000
		Biofor C Effluent	1,300,000	280,000	800	4,900
		Raw Wastewater	90,000,000	9,000,000	220,000	100,000
37	2-Nov-04	Densadeg Effluent Biostyr Effluent	31,000,000	1,800,000 150,000	12,000	23,000
		Biofor C Effluent	1,200,000 41,000	19,000	<b>17,000</b> 200	11,000 4,400
		Raw Wastewater	130,000,000	16,000,000	440,000	160,000
	4-Nov-04	Densadeg Effluent	54,000,000	5,200,000	36,000	46,000
37		Biostyr Effluent	900,000	150,000	16,000	5,000
		Biofor C Effluent	340,000	60,000	11,000	15,000
		Raw Wastewater	15,000,000	6,000,000	380,000	140,000
	8-NOV-04	Densadeg Effluent	78,000,000	13,000,000	27,000	100,000
37		Biostyr Effluent	1,200,000	160,000	10,000	23,000
		Biofor C Effluent	320,000	55,000	1,800	28,000
		Raw Wastewater	130,000,000	16,000,000	280,000	390,000
		Densadeg Effluent	38,000,000	10,000,000	8,000	140,000
38	10-Nov-04	Biostyr Effluent	630,000	71,000	5,900	14,000
		Biofor C Effluent	120,000	27,000	150	13,000
		Raw Wastewater	150,000,000	8,000,000	400,000	110,000
		Densadeg Effluent	31,000,000	5,600,000	17,000	28,000
38	16-Nov-04	Biostyr Effluent	3,600,000	310,000	11,000	10,000
	ŀ	Biofor C Effluent	2,100,000	330,000	2,100	14,000
		Raw Wastewater	140,000,000	11,000,000	100,000	430,000
	18-Nov-04	Densadeg Effluent	37,000,000	3,500,000	1,700	170,000
39		Biostyr Effluent	3,400,000	530,000	140,000	80,000
		Biofor C Effluent	2,100,000	250,000	4,400	90,000
		Raw Wastewater	90,000,000	11,000,000	200,000	24,000
		Densadeg Effluent	80,000,000	3,600,000	4,000	2,000
39	22-Nov-04	Biostyr Effluent	NS	5,000,000 NS	7,000 NS	2,000 NS
		Biofor C Effluent	2,000,000	310,000	10,000	5,000
	J.		2,000,000	510,000	10,000	5,000

#### BACTERIA AND VIRUS DATA DURING WEEK 42 (PHASE I CONDITIONS)

Week	Date	Sample ID	Total Coliform	Total Coliform Fecal Coliform		Coliphage
WCCK	Date	Sample 1D	CFU/100mL	CFU/100 mL	CFU/100mL	PFU/100mL
	7-Dec-04	BAF Influent	68,000,000	10,000,000	60,000	29,000
42		Biostyr Effluent	3,600,000	500,000	54,000	20,000
		Biofor C Effluent	12,000	3,000	80	16,000
42	9-Dec-04	BAF Influent	56,000,000	4,200,000	190,000	24,000
		Biostyr Effluent	420,000	220,000	12,000	8,000
		Biofor C Effluent	2,100,000	260,000	36,000	19,000
42	13-Dec-04	BAF Influent	45,000,000	3,500,000	30,000	22,000
		Biostyr Effluent	7,400,000	900,000	43,000	19,000
		Biofor C Effluent	200,000	34,000	480	15,000

NS: Not Sampled

PLR: Point Loma Raw Wastewater 13-BSEff: Biostyr Effluent 13-Inf: BAF Influent Efluent 13-BFCEff: Biofor C Effluent



### Appendix K. Media Solids Measurement Protocol

### City of San Diego MWWD / Brown and Caldwell BAF Pilot Study

#### Media Solids Measurement Procedure

**Biostyr**: Biostry media is floating Styrofoam media, which cannot be burned at 550 °C muffle furnace for the biomass measurement. Therefore, a different approach is needed. The following procedure will be used for the evaluation of the biomass:

#### TSS Determination:

#### Part I:

- 1. Weigh an empty clean pan (weight 1).
- 2. Take about 15 pieces of media into a container.
- 3. Wash the media with distilled water three times to remove any suspended particulate matter.
- 4. Put the media sample into the pan, and put the pan into an oven at 101 degree C for two hours.
- 5. After two hours, take out the pan, and put it into a desiccator for 20 minutes.
- 6. Re-weigh the pan (weight 2).
- 7. The difference in weight 1 and weight 2 gives the weight of BIOMASS+MEDIA.

#### Part II:

- 1. Take the dried media samples in Part I into a 40-mL glass vial.
- 2. Add concentrated bleach. Shake the media and bleach solution on a consistent basis for 15 minutes.
- 3. Rinse the media with copious amount of distilled water 3 times.
- 4. Add sulfuric acid to the media and shake the media and solution for additional 10 minutes.
- 5. Rinse the media with distilled water again, and inspect the media to be sure that the majority of the biomass is stripped of the media.
- 6. Weigh an empty clean pan (weight 3).
- 7. Put the clean media into the pan; place it into an oven at 105 degrees C for two hours.
- 8. After two hours, take out the pan, and put it into a desiccator for 20 minutes.
- 9. Re-weigh the pan (weight 4).
- 10. The difference between weight 3 and weight 4 gives the weight of MEDIA.
- 11. Subtract "BIOMASS+MEDIA" weight from "MEDIA" weight to find the weight of BIOMASS.
- 12. Report the result as gram biomass /gram media (or gram TSS/gram media).

#### VSS Determination:

- 1. Take about 30 pieces of media into a container.
- 2. Wash the media with distilled water three times to remove any suspended particulate matter.
- 3. Place the media sample into a 40-mL glass vial.
- 4. Add ethyl alcohol to the media and shake the media and solution for 20 minutes. Inspect the media to be sure that the majority of the biomass is stripped of the media.
- 5. Remove the beads from the ethyl alcohol solution containing scraped biomass.
- 6. Weigh an empty clean pan (weight 5).
- 7. Put the ethyl alcohol solution into the pan. Place the pan in an oven at 101 degrees C.
- 8. After two hours, take out the pan from the oven, and put into a desiccator for 20 minutes.
- 9. Weigh the pan (weight 6).
- 10. Place the pan in an oven at 550 decrees C for 30 minutes to burn the content of it.
- 11. After keeping in a desiccator for 20 minutes, weigh the pan (weight 7).
- 12. Calculate the total solids from the difference in weight 5 and weight 6 mentioned above.
- 13. Calculate the VSS content from the difference in weight 6 and weight 7.
- 14. Calculate the percent VSS content of the biomass based on the weights obtained in Step 12 and 13.

#### Final result to report:

Multiply the result found in gram TSS per gram media (TSS Determination, Part II, Step 12) by the percent VSS content (VSS Determination, Step 14) to report the final result as gram VSS per gram media.



# Appendix L. Data for Co-settling Experiments

Table J1.Combined Spent Backwash Water Quality

		Co-settling Test No. (Date)				
Parameter	Unit	1 (16-Nov)	2 (18-Nov)			
TBOD	mg/L	340				
SBOD	mg/L	20.5				
COD	mg/L	636				
SETS	mL/L	54	64			
TS	mg/L	2360	2910			
TVS	mg/L	816	1120			
TSS	mg/L	719	732			
VSS	mg/L	507	543			

Table J2. Densadeg Influent and Effluent Wastewater and Sludge Quality during Co-settling Experiments

	Sampling	Raw Was	stewater	Densadeg	Effluent	Densade	g Sludge
Date	Time	CBOD	TSS	CBOD	TSS	TS (%)	VS (%)
16-Nov	9:00	107	208	47.5	53.2		
	10:00	103	270	44.4	55.7		
	11:00	126	333	45.8	48.7		
	12:00	127	329	45.7	39.9		
	13:00	127	326	42.7	50.8		
	14:00	237	326	78.3	53.6	8.9	75.7
	15:00	183	398	170	64.0		
18-Nov	9:00	105	168	44.4	44.9		
	10:00	139	205	44.2	32.4		
	11:00	132	253	51.3	31.7		
	12:00	132	278	55.1	33.6		
	13:00	132	338	60.6	40.1		
	13:45	170	342	62.5	44.3	7.5	75.2
	14:45	169	347	76.1	45.6		

Note: Bold numbers indicate the duration of co-settling experiment